Ben A. Kaplan CHULSKY KAPLAN LLC

280 Prospect Ave. 6G Hackensack, NJ 07601

Phone: (877) 827-3395 ex 102 Cell Phone: (201) 803-6611

Fax: (877) 827-3394

ben@chulskykaplanlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

MELISSA COOPER, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

MONARCH RECOVERY MANAGEMENT, INC.; GALAXY PORTFOLIOS, LLC and JOHN DOES 1-25,

Defendant(s).

Civil Case Number:

## **CIVIL ACTION**

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

## **LOCAL CIVIL RULE 10.1 STATEMENT**

1. The mailing addresses of the parties to this action are:

MELISSA COOPER 110 Angle In, 30B Farmingdale, New Jersey 07727

MONARCH RECOVERY MANAGEMENT, INC. 3260 Tillman Drive, Suite 75 Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020

GALAXY PORTFOLIOS, LLC 4730 S. Apache Road, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2. Plaintiff on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, alleges that Defendants, MONARCH RECOVERY MANAGEMENT, INC. ("MONARCH RECOVERY"); GALAXY PORTFOLIO, LLC ("GALAXY") and JOHN DOES 1-25 their employees, agents and successors (collectively "Defendants") violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*, the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. This is an action for violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq*.
- 4. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) because the acts of the Defendant that give rise to this action, occurred in substantial part, in this district.

### **DEFINITIONS**

5. As used in this complaint, the terms "creditor," "consumer," "debt" and "debt collector" are defined at 15 U.S.C. § 1692a.

# **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person, a resident of Monmouth County, New Jersey and is a "Consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. MONARCH RECOVERY maintains a location at 3260 Tillman Drive, Suite 75, Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020.
- 8. GALAXY maintains a location at 4730 S. Apache Road, Suite 300, Las Vegas, Nevada 89147.

- 9. MONARCH RECOVERY uses the instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails to engage in the principal business of collecting debt and/or to regularly engage in the collection or attempt to collect debt asserted to be due or owed to another.
- 10. GALAXY uses the instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails to engage in the principal business of collecting debt.
- 11. MONARCH RECOVERY is a "Debt Collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).
  - 12. GALAXY is a "Debt Collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6).
- 13. John Does 1-25, are currently unknown Defendants whose identities will be obtained in discovery and at that time will be made parties to this action pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP"); Rule 15, Rule 20 and Rule 21. Plaintiff's claims against the currently unknown Defendants arise out of the same transaction, occurrence or series of transactions arising from known Defendant's actions and are due to common questions of law and fact whose joinder will promote litigation and judicial efficiency.

## **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 14. Plaintiff brings this action as a state-wide class action, pursuant to Rule 23 of the FRCP, on behalf of herself and all New Jersey consumers and their successors in interest (the "Class"), who Defendants collected or attempted to collect a debt from, in violation of the FDCPA, as described in this Complaint.
- 15. This Action is properly maintained as a class action. The Class is initially defined as:

All New Jersey consumers who MONARCH RECOVERY collected or attempted to collect a debt from, which was owned by GALAXY.

The class definition may be subsequently modified or refined. The Class period begins one year prior to the filing of this Action.

- 16. The Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 of the FRCP for maintaining a class action:
  - a. <u>Numerosity</u>: The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable because there are hundreds and/or thousands of persons in New Jersey who Defendant(s) collected or attempted to collect a debt from, which violates specific provisions of the FDCPA.
  - b. <u>Commonality:</u> There are questions of law and fact common to the class members which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:
    - i. Whether the Defendants violated various provisions of the FDCPA
       as set forth herein:
    - ii. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have been injured by the Defendants' conduct;
    - iii. Whether Plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of Defendants' wrongdoing and if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution; and
    - iv. Whether Plaintiff and the Class are entitled to declaratory relief.
  - c. <u>Typicality:</u> Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Class, which all arise from the same operative facts and are based on the same legal theories.

- d. Adequacy of Representation: Plaintiff has no interest adverse or antagonistic to the interest of the other members of the Class. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interest of the Class and has retained experienced and competent attorneys to represent the Class.
- 17. A Class Action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates no unusual difficulties in the management of this class action.
- 18. A Class Action will permit large numbers of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment will also permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a Class Action, class members will continue to suffer losses of statutory protected rights as well as damages.
- 19. Defendant(s) have acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate final relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

# **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

- 20. Plaintiff is at all times to this lawsuit, a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 21. At some time prior to November 11, 2019, Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation to HSBC CARD SERVICES ("HSBC").
- 22. The HSBC obligation is an open end loan as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2 and/or a retail charge account as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:16C-1 et seq.

- 23. The HSBC obligation arose out of a transaction, in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, are primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 24. Plaintiff incurred the HSBC obligation by obtaining goods and services which were primarily for personal, family and household purposes.
- 25. The HSBC obligation did not arise out of a transaction that was for non-personal use.
  - 26. The HSBC obligation did not arise out of a transaction that was for business use.
  - 27. The HSBC obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
  - 28. HSBC is a "creditor" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(4).
- 29. At some time prior to November 11, 2019, the HSBC obligation was purchased by and/or sold to GALAXY.
- 30. At the time the HSBC obligation was purchased by and/or sold to GALAXY, the obligation was in default.
- 31. The principal purpose of GALAXY is the collection of debts which are in default at the time it purchases the debts.
- 32. GALAXY has obtained a license and/or has registered as a collection agency and/or as a debt collector with at least one State and/or State department or agency within the United States within the relevant period.
- 33. GALAXY did not obtain a license from the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance prior to making attempts to collect the obligation as required by law. See *Veras v. LVNV Funding, LLC*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 34176 (D.N.J. Mar. 17, 2014); *Lopez v. Law Offices of Faloni & Associates*, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124730 (D.N.J. Sept. 14, 2016); *Latteri v. Mayer*,

- 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85926 (D.N.J. May 22, 2018); and New Century Fin. v. Trewin, 2018 N.J.
  Super. Unpub. LEXIS 1688 (May 24, 2018).
- 34. On or before November 11, 2019, GALAXY, directly or through an agent, referred the HSBC obligation to MONARCH RECOVERY for the purpose of collections.
- 35. At the time the HSBC obligation was referred to MONARCH RECOVERY the HSBC obligation was past due.
- 36. At the time the HSBC obligation was referred to MONARCH RECOVERY the HSBC obligation was in default pursuant to the terms of the agreement creating the obligation and/or by operation of law.
- 37. MONARCH RECOVERY telephoned Plaintiff on November 11, 2019 in an attempt to collect the HSBC obligation on behalf of GALAXY.
- 38. GALAXY did not obtain a license from the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance prior to authorizing and/or causing MONARCH RECOVERY to place the November 11, 2019 telephone call as required by law. See *Veras v. LVNV Funding, LLC*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 34176 (D.N.J. Mar. 17, 2014); *Lopez v. Law Offices of Faloni & Associates*, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 124730 (D.N.J. Sept. 14, 2016); *Latteri v. Mayer*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 85926; and *New Century Fin. v. Trewin*, 2018 N.J. Super. Unpub. LEXIS 1688.
- 39. The November 11, 2019 telephone call to Plaintiff was in connection with the collection of the HSBC obligation.
- 40. The November 11, 2019 telephone call is a "communication" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).
- 41. Defendants made other attempts to collect the HSBC obligation from Plaintiff within 1 year of the filing of this complaint.

- 42. In connection with those collection attempts made against Plaintiff regarding the HSBC obligation, Defendants did not itemize or breakdown the amount of the debt by principal, interest, fees and other charges.
- 43. The outstanding balance claimed to be due by Defendants on the HSBC obligation as of November 11, 2019 included an amount for interest, fees and/or other charges.
- 44. In connection with those collection attempts made against Plaintiff regarding the HSBC obligation, Defendants did not inform Plaintiff that the amount of the debt included an amount for interest.
- 45. In connection with those collection attempts made against Plaintiff regarding the HSBC obligation, Defendants did not inform Plaintiff that the amount of the debt included an amount for costs and/or fees.
  - 46. GALAXY is a consumer lender as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2 et seq.
- 47. GALAXY is in the business of buying, discounting or endorsing notes, or of furnishing, or procuring guarantee or security for compensation in amount of \$50,000 or less.
- 48. GALAXY is a sales finance company as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2 and N.J.S.A. 17:16C-1 et seq.
- 49. The HSBC obligation is an open end loan as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2 and/or retail charge account as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:16C-1 et seq.
- 50. Alternatively, the HSBC obligation is a consumer loan as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2.
  - 51. GALAXY engages in the consumer loan business as defined at N.J.S.A. 17:11C-2.
- 52. GALAXY engages in the business of purchasing defaulted consumer notes, defaulted consumer loans and/or defaulted retail charge accounts.

- 53. At all times relevant to this matter, GALAXY did not obtain a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.
- 54. At all times relevant to this matter, GALAXY did not obtain a license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance.
- 55. At all times relevant to this matter, GALAXY did not obtain a license under authority of the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act authorizing it to make consumer loans, or to buy, discount or endorse notes (loans), or to receive interest.
- 56. At no time was MONARCH RECOVERY authorized to charge or add interest to Plaintiff's account.
- 57. At no time was MONARCH RECOVERY authorized to collect interest on Plaintiff's account.
- 58. At no time was MONARCH RECOVERY authorized to collect on Plaintiff's account.
  - 59. At no time was GALAXY authorized to charge or add interest to Plaintiff's account.
  - 60. At no time was GALAXY authorized to collect interest on Plaintiff's account.
  - 61. At no time was GALAXY authorized to collect on Plaintiff's account.
- 62. As GALAXY did not obtain the appropriate license under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act during the time relevant to this matter, it was prohibited from attempting to collect on the HSBC obligation.
- 63. As GALAXY did not obtain the appropriate license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance at all relevant to this matter, it was prohibited from attempting to collect on the HSBC, N.A. obligation.

- 64. As GALAXY did not obtain the appropriate license under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act at all times prior to November 11, 2019, MONARCH RECOVERY was prohibited from attempting to collect on the HSBC, N.A. obligation.
- 65. As GALAXY did not obtain the appropriate license issued by the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance at all times prior to November 11, 2019, MONARCH RECOVERY was prohibited from attempting to collect on the HSBC, N.A. obligation.
- 66. MONARCH RECOVERY knew or should have known that its actions violated the FDCPA.
  - 67. GALAXY knew or should have known that its actions violated the FDCPA.
- 68. Defendants could have taken the steps necessary to bring their actions within compliance with the FDCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review their actions to ensure compliance with the law.

# POLICIES AND PRACTICES COMPLAINED OF

- 69. It is Defendants' policy and practice to collect or attempt to collect debts, which violate the FDCPA, by *inter alia*:
  - (a) Using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt; and
  - (b) Threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken;
  - (c) Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt; and
  - (d) Making a false representation of the character or amount of the debt.
- 70. Defendants attempted to collect debts from at least 50 natural persons in the state of New Jersey within one year of this Complaint.

## **COUNT I**

# FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. VIOLATIONS

- 71. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and others similarly situated, repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.
- 72. Collection letters and/or notices, such as those sent by Defendants, are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."
- 73. Defendant's letters would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused about his or her rights.
- 74. Defendant's letters would mislead the least sophisticated consumer to believe that Defendants could legally attempt to collect the debt.
- 75. Defendant's collection attempts would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused as to whether the balance could and/or would increase.
- 76. Defendant's collection attempts would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused as to whether the balance included an amount for interest.
- 77. Defendant's collection attempts would cause the least sophisticated consumer to be confused as to whether the balance included an amount for costs and/or fees.
- 78. Defendant's collection attempts would cause the least sophisticated consumer to believe that Defendants had the legal ability to attempt to collect the debt and that GALAXY had acquired the appropriate licenses or had otherwise complied with New Jersey regulations.
- 79. A violation of New Jersey laws, despite no private cause of action, can form the basis of a violation of the FDCPA. See *Chulsky v. Hudson Law Offices*, *P.C.*, 777 F.Supp.2d 823 (D.N.J. 2011).

- 80. Defendants' attempt to collect the alleged debt without first obtaining the license(s) necessary under New Jersey law violated various provisions of the FDCPA including but not limited to: 15 U.S.C. § 1692e; § 1692e(2)(A); § 1692e(5); § 1692e(10); and § 1692f et seq.
- 81. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA by using any false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with their attempts to collect debts from Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 82. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA in connection with their communications to Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 83. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA by falsely implying that they had the right or legal authority to collect debts from Plaintiff and others similarly situated.
- 84. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e of the FDCPA by not disclosing that the amount allegedly due on the HSBC obligation included an amount for interest and an amount for costs and/or fees.
- 85. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from making a false representation of the character, amount or legal status of a debt.
- 86. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by including an amount for interest in the balance of the obligation.
- 87. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by including an amount for costs and/or fees in the balance of the obligation.
- 88. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) by making false representations of the character, amount or legal status of a debt.
- 89. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) as Defendants were prohibited from charging or collecting interest under the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.

- 90. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2)(A) as Defendants were prohibited from collecting on the HSBC obligation because they failed to comply with the New Jersey Consumer Finance Licensing Act.
- 91. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
- 92. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) by attempting to collect the alleged debt without first obtaining the license(s) necessary under New Jersey law.
- 93. 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) prohibits the use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 94. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) by attempting to collect the alleged debt without first obtaining the license(s) necessary under New Jersey law.
- 95. 15 U.S.C. § 1692f *et seq*. of the FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- 96. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f *et seq*. of the FDCPA by attempting to collect interest, which it is not authorized or permitted by law to charge or collect.
- 97. Defendants should be disgorged of all money collected from members of the class during the relevant period as ill-gotten gains.
- 98. Defendant MONARCH RECOVERY is vicariously liable for any violations of the FDCPA that GALAXY committed as described herein.
- 99. Defendant GALAXY is vicariously liable for any violations of the FDCPA that MONARCH RECOVERY committed as described herein. See *Fox v. Citicorp Credit Services*, *Inc.*, 15 F.3d 1507 (9th Cir. 1994); *Pollice v. National Tax Funding, L.P.*, 225 F.3d 379 (3d Cir. 2000).

- 100. Congress enacted the FDCPA in part to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.
- 101. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to be free from abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors.
- 102. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have a right to receive proper notices mandated by the FDCPA.
- 103. Plaintiff and others similarly situated were sent letters, which could have affected their decision-making with regard to the debt.
- 104. Plaintiff and others similarly situated have suffered harm as a direct result of the abusive, deceptive and unfair collection practices described herein.
- 105. Plaintiff has suffered damages and other harm as a direct result of Defendants actions, conduct, omissions and violations of the FDCPA described herein.

# WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:

- (a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying Plaintiff as Class representative and her attorneys as Class Counsel;
  - (b) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;
- (c) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages, including but not limited to a disgorgement of all money collected during the relevant period;
  - (d) Awarding pre-judgment interest;
  - (e) Awarding post-judgment interest.
- (f) Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses; and
- (g) Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

## **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: December 5, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

By: s/Ben A. Kaplan

Ben A. Kaplan, Esq. (NJ 037712008)

CHULSKY KAPLAN, LLC 280 Prospect Avenue, 6G Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 Phone (877) 827-3395 ex 102 Cell Phone: (201) 803-6611

Fax: (877) 827-3394

ben@chulskykaplanlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# **CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 11.2**

I, hereby certify that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any other court, arbitration or administrative proceeding.

Dated: December 5, 2019

s/Ben A. Kaplan

Ben A. Kaplan, Esq. (NJ 037712008) CHULSKY KAPLAN, LLC

280 Prospect Avenue, 6G

Hackensack, New Jersey 07601 Phone (877) 827-3395 ex 102

Cell Phone: (201) 803-6611

Fax: (877) 827-3394

ben@chulskykaplanlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff